



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,725	01/27/2004	Kwang-Hae Choi	678-1134 (P10758)	3443	
28249 7	28249 7590 10/07/2005			EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			HUYNH, CHUCK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2683		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/766,725	CHOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chuck Huynh	2683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/766,725

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Findikli in view of Soliman.

Regarding claim 1, Findikli A communication system for selecting a PLMN (Public Land Mobile Network), comprising:

an MS (Mobile Station) for transmitting an MIN (Mobile Identification Number) message, an ESN (Electronic Serial Number) message and a location update request signal containing location information for registering the location of the MS (Col 1, lines 43-59; Col 2, lines 3-20, 47-51) and for searching for the PLMN on the basis of an HPLMN search period value corresponding to the location update request signal (Col 1, lines 60 – Col 2, lines 2);

an MSC (Mobile Switching Center) for performing an authentication procedure for the MS transmitting the location update request signal and extracting the location information from the location update request signal (Col 1, lines 60 – Col 2, lines 2);

Application/Control Number: 10/766,725

Art Unit: 2683

a VLR (Visitor Location Register) for storing subscriber data of the MS provided from outside the MS and registering a location of the MS (CoI 1, lines 36, 60 – CoI 2, lines 2); and

an HLR (Home Location Register) for updating the location information of the MS extracted from the MSC, variably setting a search period value at a time of searching for an HPLMN or higher-priority PLMN on the basis of the location information of the MS and transmitting the set search period value to the MS (Col 1, lines 51 – Col 2, lines 20, 48-56, 34; Col 4, lines 7-55).

Even though Findikli clearly discloses all the particulars of the claim and suggests that the search period is set on the basis of the location information of the MS, Findikli does not explicitly disclose it in the text.

However, Soliman, does disclose that the search period (search window size) is set on the basis of the location information of the MS (Page 10, line 10 – Page 11, line 2; Page 8, line 5 – Page 9, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Soliman's disclosure with Findikli to provide a more efficient way to search for communication service.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Findikli discloses the communication system as set forth in claim 1 and 9 respectively, wherein the HLR sets the search period value to a value larger than a set threshold value if the HLR determines that the HPLMN and PLMN do not exist in a predetermined range, on the basis of the location information

Art Unit: 2683

(Col 6, lines 12-54) (as the system performs a full scan or a power-up scan (Col 6, line 26, 45) the time period is increase to be longer than the partial (shorten time period Col 6, line 43) scan); and

wherein the HLR sets the search period value to a value smaller than a set threshold value if the HLR determines that at least one of the HPLMN and PLMN exists in a predetermined range, on the basis of the location information (Col 6, lines 12-54) (partial (shorten time period Col 6, line 43) scan).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Findikli discloses the communication system as set forth in claim 1 and 9 respectively, wherein the HLR sets the search period value "0" if the HLR determines that the HPLMN and PLMN do not exist in a predetermined range, on the basis of the location information (Col 7, lines 28-44).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Findikli discloses the communication system as set forth in claim 2 and 10 respectively, wherein the HLR newly sets the search period value when newly receiving the location information (CoI 1, line 60 – CoI 2, line 20).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Findikli discloses all the particulars of the claim, but is not explicitly clear on the communication system as set forth in claim 4 and 12 respectively, wherein the location information is geographic information on a map.

However, Soliman does disclose the communication system as set forth in claim 4, wherein the location information is geographic information on a map (Page 9, line 9 – Page 10, line18).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Soliman's disclosure to provide more specific location information.

Regarding claims 6 and 14 and considering Soliman's disclosure of GPS (Page 9-10), it is well known in the art that the communication system as set forth in claim 5 and 13 respectively, wherein the location information comprises latitude information and longitude information associated with the location of the MS.

Regarding claim 7 and 15, Findikli discloses the communication system as set forth in claim 1 and 9 respectively, wherein the subscriber data is information associated with corresponding service subscription using the MS (Col 2, lines 3-20).

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Findikli in view of Soliman in further view of Tiedemann et al.

Regarding claim 8, Findikli in view of Soliman discloses all the particulars of the claim except for the technology of the communication system as set forth in claim 1,

Art Unit: 2683

wherein the HLR transmits the period value to the MS using an OTA (Over The Air) method.

However, Tiedemann does disclose the technology of OTA data transfer between the HLR and the MS (Page 5, [0072]).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Tiedemann's disclosure of OTA data transfer to provide communication of data transmission within the system.

4. Claim 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Findikli in view of Salmivalli in further view of Soliman.

Regarding claim 9, Findikli discloses a method for selecting a PLMN (Public Land Mobile Network) in an MS

(Mobile Station) using a communication system, the communication system including the MS, an MSC (Mobile Switching Center), a VLR (Visitor Location Register) and an HLR (Home Location Register), comprising the steps of:

a) transmitting subscriber identification information and authentication information for authenticating the MS according to a location update request signal containing location information of the MS received from the MS (Col 1, lines 51-66);

b) if the location information is received from the MSC through an authentication procedure by the MSC (Col 1, line 51 – Col 2, line 20; Col 2, lines 35-42), updating the location information (Col 1, lines 62-66).

Even though Findikli discloses all the particulars of the claim, Findikli does not fully disclose allowing the MS to request a previous VLR of the MS to release previously registered location information; and

- c) if the location information previously registered by the previous VLR is released, inserting subscriber data for the MS into the VLR; and
- d) variably setting a search period value at a time of searching for an HPLMN or higher-priority PLMN on the basis of the location information of the MS and transmitting the set search period value to the MS.

However, Salmivalli does disclose authenticating and allowing the MS to request a previous VLR of the MS to release (delete) previously registered location information (Col 2, lines 3-24).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Salmivalli's disclose to provide a more secure network and accurate positioning of subscriber device.

Salmivalli also discloses feature c) of claim 1 where if the location information previously registered by the previous VLR is released, inserting subscriber data for the MS into the (new) VLR (Col 2, lines 17-24).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Salmivalli's disclosure to provide an accurate update of subscriber device's location for communication within the network.

Even though Findikli in view of Salmivalli clearly discloses all the particulars of the claim and Findikli even suggests variably setting a search period value at a time of searching for an HPLMN (Col 4, lines 23-40; Col 2, lines 8-15), but may not specifically rely on specific location information.

However, Soliman, does disclose variably setting a search period value on the basis of the location information of the MS and transmitting the set search period value to the MS. (Page 10, line 10 – Page 11, line 2; Page 8, line 5 – Page 9, line 7; Page 18, line 12 – Page 19, line 5).

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Soliman's disclosure with Findikli to provide a more efficient way to search for communication service.

Regarding claim 16, the method as set forth in claim 9, wherein step d) comprises the step of:

transmitting the period value to the MS using an OTA (Over The Air) method is well known in the art. The method of OTA is prevalent in the art of data transmission; in this case a period value is data.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,725

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

Page 9

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Please refer to reference-cited page.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chuck Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-

7866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLUGY CENTER 2600

Chuck Huynh